IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.28626-M of 2007
Date of Decision:- 07.05.2007

Gurbakhash Kaur & anr. ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.Ashish Gupta, Advocate

vs.

State of Punjab & ors. ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, learned DAG, Punjab accepts notice.

Having regard to the vague and evasive allegations made against respondents No.4 to 9, I do not deem it appropriate to issue notice of motion to them at this stage.

The petitioners claim that they were born on 4.3.1982 and 8.8.1979 respectively and are, thus, major. They further assert that they have got married to each other on 30.4.2007 against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1. It is claimed that for the reason aforesaid, the petitioners are apprehending their false implication in some criminal case.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and having regard to the plea taken by the petitioners that they are living together as husband and wife, this petition is disposed of

with liberty to them to move an application before the SHO, Police Station, Sadar Kapurthala along with relevant material to show that they have married to each other and are living together as husband and wife and have an apprehension to their life and liberty. If any such application is moved by the petitioners, the SHO Police Station, Sadar Kapurthala is directed to look into the same and ensure that no harm is caused to their life and liberty.

May 07, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**